LARRY W. GABRIEL - Bar No. 68329 COREY R. WEBER - Bar No. 205912 JASON B. KOMORSKY - Bar No. 155677 **BRUTZKUS GUBNER** 21650 Oxnard Street, Suite 500 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (818) 827-9000 Facsimile: (818) 827-9099 Email: lgabriel@brutzkusgubner.com cweber@brutzkusgubner.com jkomorsky@brutzkusgubner.com Special Litigation Counsel for Kavita Gupta, Chapter 7 Trustee UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 12 In re: Case No. 13-30340-DM 13 **GABRIEL TECHNOLOGIES** (Jointly Administered with Case No. 13-30341) CORPORATION et al., 14 **Chapter 7 Proceedings** Debtors. 15 **DECLARATION OF KAVITA GUPTA IN** SUPPORT OF CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE'S MOTION 16 FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT WITH E.I.N. 22-3062052; 20-1711149 **HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP** 17 18 Hearing: Date: September 16, 2016 19 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 17 20 United States Bankruptcy Court 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor 21 San Francisco, California 94102 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 1594964

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 1 of 19

# **DECLARATION OF KAVITA GUPTA**

I, Kavita Gupta, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney at law admitted to appear before the courts of the State of California, the United States District Courts for the Eastern, Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.
- 2. I am the Chapter 7 Trustee (the "<u>Trustee</u>") for the bankruptcy estates of debtors Gabriel Technologies Corporation and Trace Technologies, LLC (the "<u>Debtors</u>"). The facts contained in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge, and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to these facts.
- 3. Any capitalized terms used but not identified herein shall have the same meaning as in the Chapter 7 Trustee's Motion for Approval of Settlement with Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP (the "Motion").
- 4. On February 14, 2013, Gabriel Technologies Corporation and Trace Technologies, LLC commenced these bankruptcy cases by each filing a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division (the "Bankruptcy Court"), under the jointly administered Case No. 13-30340-DM. (Docket Nos. 1, 19.)
- 5. On July 8, 2014, this Bankruptcy Court entered an order converting the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases to Chapter 7 cases. (Docket No. 239.)
- 6. On August 19, 2014 a Notice of Selection of Trustee and Setting of Bond Amount was filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, selecting me as the Chapter 7 Trustee in these Bankruptcy Cases (Docket No. 250), and I filed my Notice of Acceptance on August 25, 2014. (Docket Nos. 253, 255.)
- 7. Immediately after my appointment, I put Hughes Hubbard, along with the other law firms that represented the Debtors in the Action, on notice of my potential claims against them.
- 8. I originally retained the law firm, Brown Rudnick LLP as my special litigation counsel to analyze and pursue claims against the Debtors' former attorneys, Hughes Hubbard and WHGC (local counsel). (Docket No. 289.) Through my prior and current special litigation counsel, I entered into

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 1 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 2 of

tolling stipulations and amendments extending the time to file an action against Hughes Hubbard through December 31, 2016. Based on the tolling stipulations and amendments, I have not yet filed an adversary proceeding against Hughes Hubbard.

- 9. In or around September 2015, I participated in mediation with Hughes Hubbard using the services of Robert A. Meyer of Loeb & Loeb LLP as the mediator. That mediation was unsuccessful as Hughes Hubbard and I were unable to reach an agreement.
- 10. In September 2015, I terminated Brown Rudnick LLP and substituted Brutzkus Gubner Rozansky Seror Weber LLP ("<u>Brutzkus Gubner</u>") as my special litigation counsel. (Docket Nos. 295, 306.)
- 11. On March 7, 2016, mediation took place with Judge Edward Infante (Ret.) of JAMS serving as the mediator. Unlike the first mediation, this mediation was a global mediation involving me, Hughes Hubbard, WHGC and Fitzgerald. Following the mediation, the mediator, my special litigation counsel and counsel for Hughes Hubbard continued discussions. These discussions eventually led to a mediator's proposal for settlement with Hughes Hubbard agreeing to make a payment to me as the representative of the Estates of \$7,250,000 in exchange for mutual general releases in favor of Hughes Hubbard and its attorneys and representatives, in addition to other consideration.
- 12. I have also asserted claims against WHGC and Fitzgerald, the other attorneys that represented the Debtors in the Action. I settled my claims against WHGC at the mediation, and the motion for approval of which will be filed concurrently with this Motion. I was unable to resolve my claims against Fitzgerald and I am currently in the process of arbitrating those claims with Fitzgerald. Fitzgerald's retainer agreement with the Debtors requires an arbitration of the claims against it to be held in San Diego County.
- 13. On or about July 21, 2016, Hughes Hubbard and I agreed to terms of the Settlement Agreement. A true and correct copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 14. In analyzing the reasonableness of the Settlement and the Settlement Agreement, I considered the four factors established by the Ninth Circuit in the A&C Properties case, including: (a) probability of success in litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in collection; (c) the

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 2 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 3 of

complexity of the litigation, and the expense, inconvenience and delay attending it; and (d) the paramount interests of creditors. My analysis in this regard follows in the paragraphs below.

15. The Probability of Success in the Litigation. The Settlement Agreement represents the product of an extensive evaluation of the potential claims the Estates have against Hughes Hubbard by myself and my special litigation counsel. Based thereon, I believe that it is probable that I would prevail if I pursued litigation against Hughes Hubbard. The claims themselves present fairly straightforward issues as to Hughes Hubbard's advice as to the propriety of continuing litigation after the Bond Order was issued by the District Court. However, I recognize that, as with all litigation, the outcome is ultimately uncertain and ultimately rests with the trier of fact. Moreover, even if I prevailed in litigation against Hughes Hubbard, the amount of recovery is subject to dispute.

- 16. I have also analyzed the damage claim that could be pursued *vis a vis* the Hughes Hubbard claims. Based thereon, I believe that the approximate range of the Estates' potential damage claim against Hughes Hubbard is between \$13 million \$20 million. That amount includes the \$13 million Fee Order, the additional debt (now claims) that the Debtors would not have otherwise incurred but for the continuation of the Action, interest on the Fee Order from the date it was entered, and recovery of attorney fees paid to Hughes Hubbard. After taking litigation risk and the time value of money into consideration, I believe that the \$7.25 million settlement which is 36% 56% of the Estates' potential damage claim represents a reasonable and fair compromise that is in the best interests of the Estates and their creditors. I do not believe that it is prudent to subject the Estates to the risks and costs associated with litigating the claims against Hughes Hubbard given the \$7.25 million settlement should provide a substantial benefit to the creditors of the administratively insolvent estates. In sum, I believe in my business judgment, that the proposed settlement is more beneficial to the Estates than the litigation of their claims against Hughes Hubbard.
- 17. The Difficulties, If Any, To Be Encountered in The Matter Of Collection. This test is not relevant. In the event of a judgment, I believe that I would be able to recover in full from Hughes Hubbard.
- 18. The Complexity of the Litigation Involved and the Expense, Inconvenience and Delay Necessarily Attending It. The litigation against Hughes Hubbard would present fairly complex

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 3 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 4 of

legal issues and hurdles. First, my special litigation counsel would have to address a number of jurisdictional and choice of laws issues in regard to whether the claims would need to be arbitrated, and if so, where and using what state's laws. The retention agreement with Hughes Hubbard provides that any claim against the firm be arbitrated in New York, and that New York law applies. Although there may be an appropriate basis to have the Bankruptcy Court adjudicate the claims against Hughes Hubbard, it is possible that Hughes Hubbard would prevail in requiring that the claims be arbitrated. Arbitration is often time-consuming and extremely costly. If the arbitration were to take place in New York and New York law was applied, the Estates would likely need to retain local counsel in New York to advise as to New York law and would also incur travel expenses. The complexity of the litigation, expense, and potential delay due to issues regarding arbitration, all weigh in favor of resolving the claims against Hughes Hubbard to avoid significant cost, delay and risk. However, the amount of the settlement still must be fair and reasonable given the probability of success at trial. As discussed above, I believe that the settlement amount appropriately takes into account the range of potential outcomes for the claims taking into account the probability of success at trial.

19. **Paramount Interests of Creditors.** The \$7.25 million settlement is significant and provides funds that should provide for a substantial benefit to Estates and creditors without the significant risks and costs of litigation. Given that the Estates are currently administratively insolvent, the Settlement Agreement should provide for a meaningful recovery for creditors in the Bankruptcy Cases. As will be set forth in a separate motion to approve a settlement agreement with WHGC, I have also resolved my claims, on behalf of the Estates, against WHGC. The Settlement Agreement preserves my ability to pursue claims against Fitzgerald, which may result in additional recovery for the Estates.

/ / /

26 / / /

///

28 / / /

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 4 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 5 of

20. Based on the A&C Properties factors, and in my business judgment, the Settlement Agreement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the Estates and their creditors.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 10, 2016 at Newport Beach, California.

Man + Augsta Kavita Gupta

#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL GENERAL RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Mutual General Release (the "Agreement") is entered into by and between Kavita Gupta, solely in her capacity as the Chapter 7 Trustee (the "Trustee") for the bankruptcy estates of debtors Gabriel Technologies Corporation, Bankruptcy Case No. 13-30340, and Trace Technologies, LLC, Bankruptcy Case No. 13-30341 (collectively, the "Estates"), which are jointly administered and pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division (collectively with all related bankruptcy cases and adversary proceedings, the "Bankruptcy Cases"), on the one hand, and Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP ("Hughes Hubbard"), on the other hand. The Trustee on behalf of the Estates and Hughes Hubbard (referred to herein as the "Parties" and each a "Party") agree as follows:

#### I. RECITALS

- A. WHEREAS, the provisions of this section are substantive and are not intended as mere recitals; and
- B. WHEREAS, on February 14, 2013, Gabriel Technologies Corporation and Trace Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "<u>Debtors</u>") each filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division (the "<u>Bankruptcy Court</u>"), the Honorable Dennis Montali, United States Bankruptcy Court Judge presiding; and
- C. WHEREAS, on July 8, 2014, the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases were converted to Chapter 7 cases, and the Trustee was subsequently appointed as the Chapter 7 Trustee for the Debtors' Estates (Docket No. 249); and
- D. WHEREAS, pursuant to applicable statutes and case law, the Trustee is authorized to pursue and prosecute claims and may, subject to Bankruptcy Court approval, enter into settlements and compromises of claims; and
- E. WHEREAS, the Trustee asserts that the Trustee, on behalf of the Estates, has professional negligence, malpractice, breach of fiduciary duty, and related claims against Hughes Hubbard and certain present and former lawyers at Hughes Hubbard arising out of (a) their representation of the Debtors in the action entitled Gabriel Technologies Corporation and Trace Technologies, LLC v. Qualcomm Incorporated, Snaptrack, Inc. and Norman Krasner, Case No.

08-CV-1992, which was pending in the United States District Court, Southern District of California, and the appeal thereof entitled Gabriel Technologies Corporation and Trace Technologies, LLC v. Qualcomm Incorporated, Snaptrack, Inc. and Norman Krasner, Case No. 13-1205, which was pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (collectively, the "Action"), and (b) their alleged efforts and opinions provided to secure funds from any Person or entity to pay the fees, expenses and costs of the Action. (All of the foregoing Claims and any other matter related in any way to the Debtors or the Estates are referred to collectively as the "Professional Negligence Claims"); and,

- F. WHEREAS, Hughes Hubbard contends that the Professional Negligence Claims have no merit and are subject to applicable defenses; and,
- G. WHEREAS, the Trustee and Hughes Hubbard had previously entered into tolling stipulations and amendments extending the time for the Trustee to file an action against Hughes Hubbard through August 1, 2016; and, based thereon, the Trustee has not yet filed a formal proceeding against Hughes Hubbard for the Professional Negligence Claims she alleges she is entitled to pursue; and,
- H. WHEREAS, the Parties recently participated in a full-day mediation with the Honorable Edward Infante (Ret. Chief Magistrate Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California) of JAMS on March 7, 2016; and continued settlement discussions following the mediation using Judge Infante as the mediator; and,
- I. WHEREAS, as a result of the mediation and the post-mediation efforts of Judge Infante, the Parties accepted a mediator's proposal which will resolve all Claims between the Parties.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual terms, covenants and conditions contained herein, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

#### II. DEFINITIONS

In addition to any terms defined elsewhere in this Agreement, the following definitions shall apply to this Agreement.

A. "Claim" shall mean any actual or potential claim, action, cause of action, defense, liability, obligation, right, suit, debt, sum of money, damage, judgment, demand of any nature whatsoever, proceeding to recover money or property, or proceeding against a Person or entity or

his, her or its property, whether or not reduced to judgment, and whether or not liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, known, unknown, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured or unsecured.

- B. "Estates' Related Parties" means and refers to the Debtors, the Estates, the Trustee in her representative and personal capacities, and the Trustee's professionals, including but not limited to Gupta Ferrer LLP, Brutzkus Gubner Rozansky Seror Weber LLP, Squar Milner, LLP, as well as their respective current and former lawyers, partners, shareholders, associates, and employees.
- C. "Final Order" means the later of: (i) the date on which the time to file an objection and/or a notice of appeal as to the orders for approving the Rule 9019 Motion (defined in Section III(1)(a) below) and the Good Faith Settlement Motion (defined in Section III(1)(c) below) entered pursuant to this Agreement has run without a timely objection and/or notice of appeal having been filed, or (ii) the date on which any appeal of the orders approving the Rule 9019 Motion and the Good Faith Settlement Motion and entered pursuant to this Agreement (or any further appeal from that appeal) is finally dismissed or otherwise affirmed, no further appeals may be taken, and a mandate has issued.
- D. "Hughes Hubbard Related Parties" means and refers to Hughes Hubbard & Reed, LLP and all of its respective past and present members, partners, associates, counsel, of counsel, senior counsel, owners, affiliates, officers, directors, board members, related entities, employees, employers, independent contractors, contractors, shareholders, professional corporations, servants, professionals, agents, attorneys (including but not limited to, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, as well as their respective current and former lawyers, partners, shareholders, associates, and employees), as well as all of their current and former predecessors, successors, insurers, reinsurers, heirs, spouses, partners, trusts, trustees, beneficiaries, assigns, and any other representatives and agents.
  - E. "Person" means individual, partnership, and corporation.

#### III. TERMS OF AGREEMENT

- 1. <u>Conditions Precedent.</u> This Agreement is contingent upon the following, and if any of the following conditions precedent are not met, this Agreement shall be void and of no force and effect:
- a. The filing of a motion by the Trustee seeking the approval of the terms of this Agreement in accordance with Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019 (the "Rule 9019 Motion"). The Trustee agrees to serve all documents pertaining to the Rule 9019 Motion in accordance with the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rules;
- b. The Bankruptcy Court enters an order approving the Rule 9019 Motion and such order becomes a Final Order;
- c. The filing of a motion by the Trustee seeking confirmation that this Agreement was made and entered into in good faith within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 877.6 (the "Good Faith Settlement Motion"). The Trustee agrees to serve all documents pertaining to the Good Faith Settlement Motion in accordance with the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rules; and
- d. The Bankruptcy Court enters an order approving the Good Faith Settlement Motion and such order becomes a Final Order.
- 2. <u>Effective Date of Agreement.</u> This Agreement shall be effective three (3) calendar days after the order approving the Rule 9019 Motion and the order approving the Good Faith Settlement Motion become Final Orders (the "<u>Effective Date</u>").
- 3. <u>Settlement Payment and Manner of Settlement Payment.</u> On or within five (5) business days after the Effective Date, Hughes Hubbard or its insurers shall cause to be delivered Seven Million Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$7,250,000.00) (the "<u>Settlement Payment</u>") to the Trustee, by an electronic wire transfer, pursuant to wire transfer instructions to be provided in writing by the Trustee. The Settlement Payment reflects the total sum of funds that Hughes Hubbard and its insurers will pay. None of the other Hughes Hubbard Related Parties is obligated to make any monetary payment or to provide any other consideration pursuant to this Agreement. The Hughes Hubbard Related Parties are not responsible in any way whatsoever for the proper distribution of the Settlement Payment or for any taxes, offsets, or any other deductions or similar payments.

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 10 of

- 4. <u>Mutual General Releases</u>. The releases provided below (collectively, the "<u>Releases</u>") will become mutually effective on the Effective Date. The Releases and California Civil Code Section 1542 waiver set forth in paragraphs 4 and 5 of this Agreement do not apply to any of the parties named in paragraphs 7 and 8 of this Agreement. The Releases and California Civil Code Section 1542 waiver set forth in paragraphs 4 and 5 of this Agreement do not apply to Claims to enforce this Agreement.
- a. Releases by the Trustee. The Trustee, on behalf of the Estates, themselves and on behalf of their respective successors and assigns, and anyone claiming through or under any of the foregoing, and each of them, without the necessity of executing any other document or agreement, and effective upon the Effective Date, as defined above, hereby fully release and forever discharge the Hughes Hubbard Related Parties from any and all actual or potential Claims, demands, obligations, losses, causes of action, damages, penalties, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees and liabilities, including but not limited to claims for indemnity or contribution, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising from, relating to or in any way connected with the Professional Negligence Claims (including but not limited to any preference Claims or any Claims related to any payment of fees, expenses, costs or other sums to Hughes Hubbard). It is intended that the scope of this release be construed as broadly as possible with respect to the Hughes Hubbard Related Parties.
- b. Releases By Hughes Hubbard. Hughes Hubbard, for itself and on behalf of its successors and assigns, and anyone claiming through or under any of the foregoing, and each of them, without the necessity of executing any other document or agreement, and effective upon the Effective Date, as defined above, hereby fully release and forever discharge the Estates' Related Parties from any and all actual or potential Claims, demands, obligations, losses, causes of action, damages, penalties, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees and liabilities, including but not limited to claims for indemnity or contribution, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising from, relating to or in any way connected with the Professional Negligence Claims (including but not limited to any preference Claims or any Claims related to any payment of fees, expenses, costs or other sums to Hughes Hubbard). It is intended that the scope of this release be construed as broadly as possible with respect to the Estates' Related Parties.

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Poge 11 of

....

5. General Release of Unknown Claims. The Parties warrant, represent and agree that they are fully aware that certain jurisdictions may limit the general release of unknown Claims, of any nature whatsoever, such as, for example, California Civil Code Section 1542 (set forth below), but agree that the intent of the Parties is to waive such limitations to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law and otherwise.

CAL. CIV. CODE SEC. 1542. GENERAL RELEASE. A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

By executing this Agreement, the Parties hereby waive and relinquish every right or benefit that they have or might have under California Civil Code Section 1542 or any other similar or equivalent federal provision, provision of California state law, provision of any other State in the United States, provision of common law, or otherwise to the fullest extent under any such law that the Parties may lawfully waive such right or benefit with regard to the subject matter of this Agreement. The Parties acknowledge that they are aware that they might later discover facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement, but that it is their intention hereby fully, finally and forever to settle and release all matters, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, might exist, or previously existed between the Parties. Nothing contained in the Releases or general release of unknown claims herein shall negate or impair the Parties' right to enforce this Agreement, including but not limited to the payment of the Settlement Payment.

- 6. No Right to Allowed Claim. The Hughes Hubbard Related Parties shall waive their respective rights to file or obtain an allowed Claim in the Bankruptcy Cases on any basis, including but not limited to a claim based on the Settlement Payment (or any portion thereof). To the extent that the Hughes Hubbard Related Parties filed proof(s) of claim in the Bankruptcy Cases, any and all such claims are deemed disallowed in their entirety.
- 7. <u>The Estates' Non-Released Claims.</u> Notwithstanding the general Releases in favor of the Hughes Hubbard Related Parties set forth above, and the general release of unknown claims, and without limiting the scope or application of those Releases, the Parties expressly understand and agree that nothing in this Agreement is intended to release any Claims of the

Estates against any other Persons or other entities, including, without limitation, any of the following:

- a. The Debtors' former or current officers or directors including, without limitation, George Tingo, Jack Manning, and David Clark;
- Wang Hartmann Gibbs & Cauley, P.L.C., WHGC, P.L.C., any predecessor or successor firm or entity and their present or former attorneys;
- c. Chapin Fitzgerald Knaier, LLP, Fitzgerald Knaier LLP, any predecessor or successor firm or entity and their present or former attorneys; and
- d. Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, LLP, any predecessor or successor firm or entity and their present or former attorneys.
- 8. <u>Hughes Hubbard Non-Released Claims.</u> Notwithstanding the general Releases in favor of the Estates' Related Parties set forth above, and the general release of unknown claims, and without limiting the scope or application of those Releases, the Parties expressly understand and agree that nothing in this Agreement is intended to release any Claims by the Hughes Hubbard Related Parties against any other Persons or entities, including without limitation those identified in paragraph 7 above.
- 9. <u>Authority.</u> Each of the signatories to this Agreement warrants that he or she or it has the authority to sign on behalf of the Party to this Agreement and that no other signature is required.
- Motion and the order approving the Good Faith Settlement Motion and those orders become Final Orders, the Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction over this Agreement, even after dismissal of the Bankruptcy Cases, and the Bankruptcy Court has the authority and jurisdiction to resolve any disputes arising under this Agreement or the Final Orders. If this Agreement is not approved and/or becomes or is deemed void, or the order approving the Rule 9019 Motion and the order approving the Good Faith Settlement Motion do not become Final Orders, neither this Agreement nor the act of entering into it shall be construed as constituting or indicating or in any other way indicative of any consent by the Hughes Hubbard Related Parties to the Bankruptcy Court's jurisdiction over any current or future Claims of any nature whatsoever, made by the Trustee, Debtors, Estates or any other Persons or entities.

7

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 13 of

- 11. <u>Choice of Law.</u> This Agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with the internal laws of the State of California and, where applicable, federal law, including but not limited to the United States Bankruptcy Code.
- 12. No Admission of Liability. It is understood and agreed by the Parties hereto that this Agreement and the consideration therefor is a full, final and complete compromise and settlement of disputed Claims. This Agreement is not to be construed as an admission of liability on the part of any of the Parties. While agreeing to this settlement, Hughes Hubbard denies any liability to the Trustee, the Estates, the Debtors, or otherwise and further denies that Hughes Hubbard, or any of its lawyers, have violated any federal, state or local statute, rule or ordinance, or any common law principle, in connection with the Professional Negligence Claims or in their dealings with the Debtors or the Estates. Neither this Agreement, nor any of its terms, nor any negotiations or proceedings in connection with this Agreement, shall constitute or be construed as or be deemed to be evidence of an admission on the part of any Party of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever, or the truth or untruth, or merit or lack of merit, of any claim or defense of any party; nor shall this Agreement, or any of the terms hereof, nor any negotiations or proceedings in connection herewith, nor any performance or forbearance hereunder, be offered or received in evidence or used in any proceeding against any party, or used in any proceeding for any purpose whatsoever except with respect to the effectuation and enforcement of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties agree that this provision is not intended to be a confidentiality provision or a non-disparagement provision. The Trustee may file this Agreement as evidence in support of the Rule 9019 Motion and for any other purpose whatsoever that is related to or connected with the Estates or the Bankruptcy Cases.
- 13. <u>Counterparts.</u> This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument at such time as counterparts are executed which shall, in total, contain the signatures of all the Parties hereto.
- 14. <u>Construction.</u> This Agreement shall be construed as if all Parties hereto, and each of them, jointly prepared it, and any uncertainty or ambiguity shall not be interpreted against any one Party.

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 14 of

- 15. <u>Survival.</u> All of the representations, warranties and covenants provided herein shall survive the Effective Date.
- 16. <u>Binding Nature of Agreement.</u> This Agreement, to the fullest extent possible, shall be binding upon, inure to the benefit of, and be enforceable by the Estates' Related Parties and the Hughes Hubbard Related Parties.
- 17. <u>Integration/Entire Agreement.</u> This Agreement constitutes a single, fully integrated contract expressing the entire agreement of the Parties hereto. No promise, inducement or agreement other than that expressed herein has been made by any Party. Each Party for itself represents, warrants, covenants, understands and agrees that this Agreement sets forth all of the agreements, covenants and understandings of the Parties, superseding all other prior and contemporaneous oral and written agreements, representations, discussions, or promises, if any, whether written or oral, express or implied. There are no third party beneficiaries to this Agreement other than the Persons or other entities released pursuant to the Releases or otherwise as expressly provided for in this Agreement.
- 18. <u>Voluntary Agreement.</u> This Agreement is freely and voluntarily executed by the Parties. Each Party represents, warrants, covenants, and agrees that: (a) they have carefully and thoroughly read this Agreement; (b) they have obtained the advice of counsel of their own choosing with respect to the Agreement and its legal interpretation and implications; (c) they fully understand the terms of this Agreement and their significance; (d) they have had a full and complete opportunity to review this Agreement and to make suggestions or changes; and (e) they have bargained for this Agreement in arms-length negotiations and without acting under duress.
- 19. <u>No Assignment or Transfer of Claims.</u> As a condition precedent to this Agreement, the Parties each expressly represent, warrant, and covenant that they have not assigned, sold, conveyed, transferred, or otherwise disposed of any of the Professional Negligence Claims or portion thereof or any other Claims covered by the Releases herein that they may have possessed at any time that are being released by this Agreement.
- 20. <u>Attorneys' Fees and Costs.</u> The Parties each shall bear their own attorneys' fees expert fees, and costs with regard to or relating to all past and pending matters between the Parties, the Professional Negligence Claims, and this Agreement.

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Page 15 of

- 21. <u>Covenant Not To Sue.</u> The Parties agree and understand that the one or both of them may file an action or proceeding against the Persons or entities listed in paragraphs 7 and/or 8 of this Agreement. Each Party to this Agreement agrees not to sue the parties they have released based on the released matters.
- 22. <u>Termination/Modification</u>. This Agreement can be amended, revised, modified or terminated only by a writing signed by all of the Parties to this Agreement, and any modifications, revisions, or changes to this Agreement must be done in a written instrument signed by all of the Parties to this Agreement. Any amendment is subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court.
- 23. <u>Facsimile, Copy and .pdf Signatures.</u> An original, facsimile, copy or .pdf signature on this Agreement shall have the same force and effect as an original signature thereto.
- 24. <u>Notices.</u> All notices and other communications required by or relating to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed given when delivered by a nationally recognized overnight courier service and email to the Parties at the following addresses (or at such other address for a Party as shall be specified in writing by like notice, provided that a notice of change of address(es) shall be effective only from the date of its receipt by the other Party):

#### (a) if to the Estates, then to:

Kavita Gupta Gupta Ferrer LLP 1300 Bristol Street North, Suite 100 Newport Beach, California 92660

Tel.: (949) 387-4470 Fax: (949) 258-9786

Email: kgupta@guptaferrer.com

### With a copy to:

Brutzkus Gubner Rozansky Seror Weber LLP Attention: Larry Gabriel and Corey R. Weber Warner Center 21650 Oxnard St., Suite 500 Woodland Hills, California 91367-4911

Tel.: (818) 827-9000 Fax: (818) 827-9099

Email: lgabriel@brutzkusgubner.com

# cweber@brutzkusgubner.com

# (b) if to Hughes Hubbard, then to:

Hughes Hubbard and Reed LLP Attention: James B. Kobak, Jr. One Battery Park Plaza New York, New York 10004-1482

Tel.: (212) 837-6757 Fax: (212) 422-4726

Email: james.kobak@hugheshubbard.com

#### and

Hughes Hubbard and Reed LLP Attention: Rita Haeusler

350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3600 Los Angeles, California 90071

Tel.: (213) 613-2896 Fax: (213) 330-3296

Email: rita.haeusler@hugheshubbard.com

### With a copy to:

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Attention: Kevin S. Rosen 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071 Tel.: (213) 229-7635

Tel.: (213) 229-7635 Fax: (213) 229-6635

Email: krosen@gibsondunn.com

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement on the dates opposite their respective signatures.

DATED:

HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP

James B. Kobak, Jr.,

Solely In His Capacity As Its General Counsel and Authorized Representative and Not Individually

[SIGNATURES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE]

.11

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 Pege 17 of

DATED: July 21, 2016	THE ESTATES  By: Kavita Gupta,
	Solely In Her Capacity As the Trustee for the Estates and Not Individually
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
DATED: 7/21/2016	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  By:  Kevin S. Rosen,  Solely As Counsel to Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP
DATED:	BRUTZKUS GUBNER ROZANSKY SEROR WEBER LLP
•	By: Larry Gabriel,
	Solely As Counsel to Kavita Gupta in her capacity as the Trustee for the Estates

DATED:	THE ESTATES
	By: Kavita Gupta,
	Solely In Her Capacity As the Trustee for the Estates and Not Individually
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	· ·
DATED: 7/21/2016	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  By: Kevin S. Rosen,
DATED: 1/21/2016	Solely As Counsel to Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP  BRUTZKUS GUBNER ROZANSKY SEROR WEBER LLP  By:  Solely As Counsel to Kavita Gupta in her capacity as
	the Trustee for the Estates

Case: 13-30340 Doc# 324 Filed: 08/11/16 Entered: 08/11/16 14:19:40 **P8**ge 19 of 19